MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) The Planning System in India and the planning process as practiced, has been one of the pillars of our approach to economic development and growth since independence and has stood us in good stead. We can take justifiable pride in having successfully reversed the worst inequities of our colonial heritage and building a nation of considerable economic strength in a framework of democracy and federalism. We cannot, however, overlook our shortcomings or to the magnitude of the challenges that lie ahead of us.

The planning process culminates in the formulation of Five Year Plans which in turn are operationalised through its Annual Plans. So far w& have implemented eight Five Year Plans and are in the middle of our Ninth Five Year Plan. The growth in GDPrealised in each of the last eight Five Year Plans, except for the Third Plan (1961-1966) and the Fourth Plan (1969-1974), was almost as projected or above the projection in the respective Plan.

(b) The process of Plan formulation involves a well defined consultative mechanism that uses inputs/ suggestions from structured consultations with diverse interest groups nad professionals from various fields including, education, agriculture, industry, services, academics and voluntary organisations. This is facilitated through the setting up of Working Groups/ Steering Committees on specific issues and sectors. In addition, technical inputs at sectoral and macro level emanating from plan models and other analysis carried out in the Planning Commission and used to formulate the Five Year Plans. Finally, the Plan is considered/approved by the National Development Council before it is operationalised.

(c) The exercise for the preparation fljf the Tenth Five Plan has just been initiated and the consultative process-as indicated above, is to be further deepened and broadened with a view to reflect and address effectively the changing context and the emerging challenges of our economy.

Investment benefit by states

3381. SHRI K. KALAVENKATARAO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the name of the states that are getting the maximum investment benefit on account of investment friendly climate;

(b) the rating of Andhra Pradesh as climate for investments; and

(c) the recommendation of the Planning Commission to emulate the model document prepared by the Andhra Pradesh-vision 2020?

THE MIMSTER OF STATE tt4 THE IVflNIST^^ OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME DvIPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS ANDPUBLIC GRIEVANCES OF THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE OFTHE DEPARTMENT OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) and (b) According to the Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE), the five states which are getting maximum investment are Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. A statement giving details of the investment trends, including the comparative position of Andhra Pradesh is attached. *(See* below).

(c) Planning Commission has set up a Committee for the preparation of a National Vision 2020document.

STATEMENT

Trends in Total Investment by States & Union Territories

(Rs. crore)

	Jan 1999	Apr 1999	Jul 1999	Oct 1999	Jan 2000	Apr 2000	Jul 2000
Slates							
Andhra Pradesh	1,03.701	1.02.710	93,869	98,224	1,33.187	1.32.126	1,38.01
Arunachal Pradesh	2,642	3.738	4,336	4,336	4.104	4.104	4,078
Assam	14,231	14.028	11,982	11.191	10,692	12,106	10,967
Bihar	24.137	23.204	28,681	42.574	42.122	44,317	39.86
Delhi	15,003	15,510	15.310	14,072	13.837	14.954	12,450
Goa	6,244	9.050	9,081	8,946	8.874	8,055	7,876
Gujarat	,44,471	1.44,129	1.32.48	1.43.02	1.43.45	1,38,99	1,38,2
Haryana	17.427	15.592	15.824	17,782	18,553	18.962	20.005
Himachal Pradesh	26,926	23,745	26,295	29,795	30,096	30,826	29.43
Jammu and Kashmir	12.219	11,841	I1.915	11.767	12.190	11,739	I1.642
Karnataka	.04,385	1.00,918	99.391	94,333	91.060	94,383	1,03,5
Kerala	55,160	48,959	47,411	46.357	44.578	42,946	42,02
Madhya	70.430	71,025	70.480	65,177	65.645	70,296	70,58
Maharashtra	,50,391	1,49.918	1,50,-	1,45.92	1,48.33	1.57.183	1,54,2
Manipur	95 5	955	955	95 5	1 , 108	1.108	1. 108
Meghalaya	362	362	362	725	725	725	724

	Jan 1999	Apr 1999	Jul 1999	Ocl 1999	Jan 2000	Apr 2000	Jul 2000
Mizoram	1,594	1,594	1,594	1.594	1.506	1.086	1,053
Nagaland	652	94	801	889	889	855	928
Orissa	1,12.97	1,11,503	1.01,236	98.820	1.10.9	1,11,744	99,011
Punjab	25,535	25.576	26.260	24,978	26.995	25.992	29,162
Rajasthan	33.601	34.032	35,428	37,752	38,154	31,924	<30.-
Sikkim	362	362	450	450	0	3,086	3,086
Tamil Nadu	1.46,57	1,38,562	1,39.062	1.33,674	1.46,5	1,56.978	1.54,04
Tripura	248	248	443	443	3.443	3.443	3.443
Uttar Pradesh	66.46Q	64,959	69,269	71,519	72,367	73,998	85.955
West Bengal	65,413	63,501	62,039	60,584	60,599	55,408	52,412
Union Territories							
Andaman and	8	8	8	8	8	77	77
Chandigarh	210	179	179	104	104	100	300
Dadra and Nagar Haveli	377	378	377	308	3 10	268	1 1 3
Daman and Diu	1 68	1 68	165	95	1 7	1 7	1
Lakshadweep	0	4	4	4	4	4	4
Pandicherry	1 3 1	195	375	361	361	426	1.434
All India		2,53.602	12.34.266		.20,76		13,45.542

Construction of a bridge in Cbauki Ghat area of Assam

3381 DR. ARUN KUMAR SARMA:
DR. (SHRIMATI) JOYASREE GOSWAMIMAHANTA:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under consideration for construction of a bridge in CHAUKI GHAT area of SONITPUR district of Assam over the river JTYABHOROLI;and

(b) if so, what is the status of the proposal and the details of total outlay, target set and amount allocated for it during Ninth Plan?

THEMDsriSTEROFSTATEINTHEMIMSTRYOFPLANNING,MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES OF THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DISINVESTMENT (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. There is a proposal to construct a Bridge across